IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

ORDER NO. <u>1026</u>

Amending Civil Rules 26, 32, 34 and 37 concerning Discovery Practices.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Civil Rule 26(a) is amended to provide:

Discovery Methods. Parties may (a) obtain discovery by one or more of the following methods: depositions; written interrogatories; production of documents or things on permission to enter upon land or other property, for inspection and other purposes; physical and mental examination; and requests for admission. Written interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33 of these rules are limited to thirty questions, which shall include paragraphs and subparagraphs. Upon application to the court, the court may with good cause appearing, permit further written JUNLESS THE COURT ORDERS interrogatories. OTHERWISE UNDER SUBSECTION (c) OF THIS RULE, THE FREQUENCY OF USE OF METHODS OF DISCOVERY OTHER THAN WRITTEN INTERROGATORIES IS NOT LIMITED.]

2. Civil Rule 26(b)(1) is amended to provide:

(b) Discovery Scope and Limits. Unless otherwise limited by order of the court in accordance with these rules, the scope of discovery is as follows:

(1) In General. Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location Supreme Court Order No. <u>1026</u> Effective Date: <u>July 15, 1990</u> Page 2

> of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. It is not ground for objection that the information sought will be inadmissible at the trial if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

> The frequency or extent of use of the discovery methods set forth in paragraph (a) shall be limited by the court if it determines that: (i) the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the discovery is unduly burdensome or expensive, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, limitations on the parties' resources, and the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation. The court may act upon its own initiative after reasonable notice or pursuant to a motion under paragraph (c).

3. A new paragraph (f) is added to Civil Rule 26 to provide:

(f) Discovery Conference. At any time after commencement of an action the court may direct the attorneys for the parties to appear before it for a conference on the subject of discovery. The court shall do so upon motion by the attorney for any party if the motion includes:

(1) a statement of the issues as they then appear;

(2) a proposed plan and schedule of discovery;

(3) any limitations proposed to be placed on discovery;

(4) any other proposed orders with respect to discovery; and

Supreme Court Order No. <u>1026</u> Effective Date: <u>July 15, 1990</u> Page 3

ĺ

(5) a statement showing that the attorney making the motion has made a reasonable effort to reach agreement with opposing attorneys on the matters set forth in the motion. Each party and each party's attorney are under a duty to participate in good faith in the framing of a discovery plan if a plan is proposed by the attorney for any party. Notice of the motion shall be served on all parties. Objections or additions to matters set forth in the motion shall be served not later than 10 days after service of the motion.

Following the discovery conference, the court shall enter an order tentatively identifying the issues for discovery purposes, establishing a plan and schedule for discovery, setting limitations on discovery, if any; and determining such other matters, including the allocation of expenses, as are necessary for the proper management of discovery in the action. An order may be altered or amended whenever justice so requires.

Subject to the right of a party who properly moves for a discovery conference to prompt convening of the conference, the court may combine the discovery conference with a pretrial conference authorized by Civil Rule 16.

4. Civil Rule 32(a)(1) and (a)(4) are amended to provide:

(a) Use of Depositions. At the trial or upon the hearing of a motion or an interlocutory proceeding, any part or all of a deposition, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence applied as though the witness were then present and testifying, may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or who had reasonable notice thereof, in accordance with any of the following provisions:

(1) Any deposition may be used by any party for the purpose of contradicting or impeaching the testimony of deponent as a witness, or for any other purpose permitted by the Alaska Rules of Evidence.

. . . .

ĺ

(4) If only part of a deposition is offered in evidence by a party, an adverse party may require him to introduce any other part which ought in fairness to be considered with the part introduced, and any party may introduce any other parts.

Substitution of parties pursuant to Rule 25 does not affect the right to use depositions previously taken; and, when an action <u>has been</u> <u>brought in any court of the United States or of any State [IN ANY COURT OF THE STATE HAS BEEN DISMISSED] and another action involving the same subject matter is afterward brought between the same parties or their representatives or successors in interest, all depositions lawfully taken and duly filed in the former action may be used in the latter as if originally taken therefor. <u>A deposition</u> <u>previously taken may also be used as permitted</u> by the Alaska Rules of Evidence.</u>

5. Civil Rule 34(b) is amended to provide:

(b) Procedure. The request may, without leave of court, be served upon the plaintiff after commencement of the action and upon any other party with or after service of the summons and complaint upon that party. The request shall set forth the items to be inspected either by individual item or by category, and describe each item and category with reasonable particularity. The request shall specify a reasonable time, place, and manner of making the inspection and performing the related acts.

The party upon whom the request is served shall serve a written response within 30 days after the service of the request, except that a defendant may serve a response within 45 days after service of the summons and complaint upon that defendant. The court may allow a shorter Supreme Court Order No. 1026 Effective Date: July 15, 1990 Page 5

> or longer time. The response shall state, with respect to each item or category, that inspection and related activities will be permitted as requested, unless the request is objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated. If objection is made to part of an item or category, the part shall be specified. The party submitting the request may move for an order under Rule 37(a) with respect to any objection to or other failure to respond to the request or any part thereof, or any failure to permit inspection as requested.

> A party who produces documents for inspection shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the request.

6. Civil Rule 37(b)(2) is amended to provide:

(2) Sanctions by Court in Which Action is Pending. If a party or an officer, director, or managing agent of a party or a person designated under Rule 30(b)(6) [30(b)(5)] or 31(a) to testify on behalf of a party fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery, including an order made under subdivision (a) of this rule or Rule 35, or if a party fails to obey an order entered under Rule 26(f). the court in which the action is pending may make such orders in regard to the failure as are just, and among others the following:

7. A new paragraph (g) is added to Civil Rule 37 to provide:

(g) Failure to Participate in the Framing of a Discovery Plan. If a party or a party's attorney fails to participate in good faith in the framing of a discovery plan by agreement as is required by Rule 26(f), the court may, after opportunity for hearing, require such party or attorney to pay to any other party the reasonSupreme Court Order No. 1026 Effective Date: July 15, 1990 Page 6

able expenses, including attorney's fees, caused by the failure.

DATED: November 30, 1989

Ý

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 1990.

Chief Justice Matthews

Justice Rabinowitz

Justice Burke

Justice Compton

Justice Moore