IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA ORDER NO. 1624

Amending Administrative Rule 44 to revise and clarify rulemaking procedures.

IT IS ORDERED:

Administrative Rule 44 is amended to read as follows:

Rule 44. Rulemaking.

- (a) **Uniform Policy.** The supreme court shall make and promulgate rules governing administration of all courts, and practice and procedure in civil and criminal cases in all courts. The rules shall be uniform throughout the Alaska Court System.
- (b) **Request for Rulemaking.** Any person may propose new rules or changes in present rules to the supreme court. Each proposal must:
 - (1) be in writing;
 - (2) include any language proposed for change;
 - (3) include the reason for the suggested rule or change; and
- (4) be submitted to the court rules attorney at the Anchorage Office of the Administrative Director of Courts.

The court rules attorney shall review each proposal. If the proposal is unclear or in need of further documentation, the rules attorney shall contact the person submitting the proposal and seek clarification.

Supreme Court Order No.1624 Effective Date: April 16, 2007

- (c) **Major or Minor Change.** The rules attorney shall determine whether a proposal is a major or minor rule change. All proposals for new rules and all proposals affecting substantial rights of litigants are major. Minor rules changes are those which are technical in nature.
- (d) **Minor Change.** The rules attorney shall submit a minor rules change proposal directly to the supreme court along with a summary of the proposal, any relevant background information, and proposed orders in both plain text and legislative form. The chief justice shall calendar a review of the proposal at an appropriate administrative conference. Following review, the supreme court may adopt or reject the proposal, refer it to a rules committee for review, or assign it to the rules attorney for further research or review.
- (e) **Major Change.** The rules attorney shall refer major rules change proposals to the appropriate rules committee. The committee shall review the proposal, determine if a draft should be circulated to the bar or other interested persons for comment, and determine whether to support or oppose the change. If no circulation was made, the reason for this decision shall be conveyed to the supreme court when the rules proposal is referred to the court for consideration. The rules attorney shall summary of the proposal, the committee's recommendation, any other relevant background information, and proposed orders in both plain text and legislative form for the court's consideration. The chief justice shall calendar a review of proposals that a rules committee recommends at an appropriate administrative conference. Following review, the supreme court may adopt or reject the proposal in whole or in part, refer the

Supreme Court Order No.1624 Page 3 of 5

Effective Date: April 16, 2007

proposal to the same or a different committee for further study, direct circulation for comment, or assign the proposal to the rules attorney for further research or review.

- (f) **Public Information.** The following material in rule change files is public information and is available for review by members of the public upon request, unless otherwise ordered by the court:
 - (1) original rule change proposals;
- (2) materials considered by the rules committees, including proposal drafts, memoranda submitted to or prepared by the committee, and correspondence;
 - (3) meeting minutes;
- (4) rules committee summaries circulated to members of the Alaska Bar Association or other persons for comment, and any comments received;
- (5) referral memoranda presented to the supreme court by the court rules attorney, along with any supporting materials and proposed orders; and
- (6) final supreme court orders adopted in response to rule change proposals.
- (g) Standing Rules Committees. The chief justice shall establish standing rules committees to review proposals for amendments to the Rules of Court, except that the administrative director shall review proposals to amend the Administrative Rules. All committees shall receive major rules change proposals from the rules attorney or the supreme court. In addition, the rules committees shall carry on a continuous study of the

Supreme Court Order No.1624 Page 4 of 5

Effective Date: April 16, 2007

operation and effect of the rules of procedure and administration. The rules committees shall periodically recommend rules changes to the supreme court if the changes promote: 1) simplicity in procedure; 2) fairness in administration; 3) the just determination of litigation; and 4) the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay.

- (h) Appointment. The chief justice shall appoint members of the judiciary, Alaska Bar Association, and other qualified persons to serve on the rules committees. Nominees shall be considered from any source, but solicitation for nominee recommendations shall also be made to the board of governors of the state bar association and the statewide court clerks conference. Where possible, terms of the committee members will be staggered to preserve committee continuity. The members of the committees shall serve without compensation. However, with prior approval, the members may be reimbursed for per diem and travel expenses incident to their duties as members of the committees.
- (i) **Expedited Rule Action.** Whenever the supreme court determines that the immediate promulgation of a procedural or administrative rule is necessary, the court may take any action required by the circumstances. The court shall follow all of the requirements of this rule consistent with meeting the nature of the particular circumstances.
- order shall be stated in the order. Normally, the effective date shall be the same as the publisher's distribution date, in order to provide adequate notice to those affected by the rule change. Where this is not practical, the effective date shall be set as determined by the supreme court. When this occurs, the

supreme court shall determine what additional notice, if any, shall be provided to those affected by the rule change. Notice of the adopted rules changes shall be posted on the court system's website.

DATED: September 18, 2006

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 16, 2007

<u>/s/</u>
Chief Justice Fabe
<u>/s/</u>
Justice Matthews
Justice Matthews
<u>/s/</u>
Justice Eastaugh
<u>/s/</u>
Justice Bryner
<u>/s/</u>
Justice Carpeneti