THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

ORDER NO. 292

Amending Criminal Rule 25(d), relating to change of judge as a matter of right.

IT IS ORDERED:

Paragraph (d) of Criminal Rule 25 is amended to read:

(d) <u>Change of Judge as a Matter of Right</u>. In all courts of the state a judge may be peremptorily challenged as follows:

> (1) Entitlement. In any criminal case in superior or district court, the prosecution and the defense shall each be entitled as a matter of right to one change of judge. When multiple defendants are unable to agree upon the judge to hear the case, the trial judge may, in the interest of justice, give them more than one change as a matter of right; the prosecutor shall be entitled to the same number of changes as all the defendants combined.

(2) <u>Procedure</u>. At the time required for filing the omnibus hearing form, or within 5 days after a judge is assigned the case for the first time, a party may exercise his right to change of judge by noting the request on the omnibus hearing form or by filing a "Notice of Change of Judge" signed by counsel, if any, stating the name of the judge to be changed. A judge may honor a timely informal request for change of judge, entering upon the record the date of the request and the name of the party requesting it.

(3) <u>Re-Assignment</u>. When a request for change of judge is timely filed under this rule, the judge shall proceed no further in the action, except to make such temporary orders as may be absolutely necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable injury before the action can be transferred to another judge. However, if the named judge is the presiding judge, he shall continue to perform the functions of the presiding judge.

(4) <u>Timeliness</u>. Failure to file a timely request precludes a change of judge under this rule as a matter of right. (5) <u>Waiver</u>. A party loses his rights under this rule to change a judge when he agrees to the assignment of the case to a particular judge or participates before him in an omnibus hearing, any subsequent pretrial hearing, a hearing under Rule 11, or the commencement of trial. No provision of this rule shall bar a stipulation as to the judge before whom a plea of guilty or of nono contendere shall be taken under Rule 11.

DATED: December 13, 1977 EFFECTIVE DATE: February 21, 1978

Justice Chi Justice Justice Justice Ø Justice

Distribution:

S/C Justices Sup/Ct Judges Dist/Ct Judges Magistrates Mag/Supr Clks/Ct Law Librarian Probate Masters Adm/Dir All Members ABA Gov Dep/Law Legs/Affairs Pub Def Agency Dep/Pub Safety Ak. Legal Services